IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL

Criminal Writ Petition No. 1009 of 2004 (M/B)

Km. Vimla D/o Sri Dhyan Singh,
R/o Village & Post Bhikiyasain,
District Almora ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Uttaranchal & others. ..... Respondents

Mr. Paresh Tripathi, learned counsel on behalf of the petitioner.
Mr. A. Rab, learned Addl. Government Advocate for the State.

Hon. V.S. Sirpurkar, C.J.
Hon. Irshad Hussain, J.

1. Heard Mr. Paresh Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioner and Mr. A. Rab, learned Addl. Government Advocate, who accepted the notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 & 2.

2. The learned public prosecutor makes a statement that they do not intend to arrest the petitioner. However, in case, they decide to arrest the petitioner, they would give 8 days' prior notice to the petitioner.

3. With this observation, the wit petition is disposed of.

(Irshad Hussain, J.)
13.10.2004

(V.S. Sirpurkar, C.J.)
13.10.2004

G